

MARGETTA LANGLOIS ^{FILED IN CLERKS OFFICE}
V. ^{2005 FEB 22 AM 13} Pro Se

Michael Hugo Pro Se
Samuel Pollack Pro Se

ALBERT FANDERS Pro Se

Discovery For Exhibits # 40- # 44 + By 3
Def-Needs Amended Civil Suit of
04-CV-11588 JUDGE R.W. ZOBEL

MOTION To Compel Discovery
of "Contingency Fee Agreements"
(All) # 42: Plaintiff MARGETTA LANGLOIS
w/ Michael Hugo "w/ 1995"
Contingency Fee Agreements

Witnessed + DATED by Plaintiff
42 RE: + Firm Hugo -

MARGETTA LANGLOIS w/ Hugo +
Pollack DATED, Witnessed + Signed
w/ and By Plaintiff - w/ Hugo + Pollack After
1995 - NONE

43 RE: MARGETTA LANGLOIS w/ Hugo,
Pollack + FANDERS - Witnessed,
Signed + DATED w/ + By Plaintiff After
MARCH OF 2004 NONE

D). #43 AND AN OTHER CONTINGENCY
Fee AGREEMENTS FROM 1992-
2005 FROM ^{W/} HUGO TO
POLLACK, AND FLANDERS.

E). #44 COURT TO COMPEL
PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS BY 3 DEF.
ON FILE OF

A) MARGETTA LANGLOIS V.

DOW CORP. "INCOMPLETE"
FROM HUGO, TO POLLACK & FLANDERS (3/04)

B) MARGETTA LANGLOIS V.

DOW CHEMICAL (1999)

Dismissed —

W/ NO KNOWLEDGE OF MARGETTA
LANGLOIS TO (A), TRANSFERRED, SOLD, STOLEN,
SWITCHED, OVER TO POLLACK & FLANDERS
TO ACQUIRE — FOR "FRAUDULANT LIE" —
(NOT ENTITLED TO) —

ⓑ W/ NO KNOWLEDGE OF DOW
CHEMICAL DISMISSED DUE TO NOT
FILED IN A TIMELY MANNER — BY
HUGO, POLLACK & FLANDERS IN 99 —

BREAK UP of Law firm of
HUGO - Going To 95 WHARF
COMMERCIAL - BOSTON, MASS. 02109

AND
(Pollack + Flander's To
50 CONGRESS ST. SUITE
430 - BOSTON, MASS. 02119)

LEAVING D.C. UNDER IMPRESSION
POLLACK + FLANDER'S STILL AT 440 COMMERCIAL
STREET BOSTON W/ HUGO
"LIEN" IS "FRAUD"

THIS WAS WRITTEN AND LIEN
 IS "ILLEGAL + INTENT TO DEFRAUD"
 AND COLLECT FOR AN INCOMPLETE
 CASE + NEGLIGENCE -
 FILE STOLEN FROM HUGO -

motion
HEARING Needed To View
"AN" CONTINGENCY fee
AGREEMENTS TO DATE -

A.S.A.P. WITH HUGO
 POLLACK + FLANDERS + D.A. CRIMINAL

MOTION

To Review All + Non -
ATTORNEY'S ON RECORD SINCE
OCT 21, 04 HEARING ALL PRESENT
WITH NO NOTICE OF APPEARANCES
ON COURT DOCKET, KTO Plaintiff
Samuel Pollack To REPRESENT
ATTORNEY ALBERT FINDER -
(2 HEARINGS "DEFAULTED" - 10/24/04 + 2/16/05
ONCE AGAIN 3 ATTORNEYS
"SNOWBALLING" JUDGE ZOBEL

ONCE AGAIN Plaintiff PRESENT
ASKS FOR
(REQUESTS) A) DEFAULTS + FINAL JUDGEMENT
ON ALBERT FINDER for
B) NON APPEARANCES 10/24/04 + 2/16/05
C) NO DISCOVERY (ALL) Plaintiff ASKS
for, NOW PROVIDED TO COURT.
D) NO INTERROGATORIES "UNSCRAMBLED"
By HUGO/POLLACK NEVER ANSWERED
TO DATE - DEADLINE 1/31/05
FOR FULL DAMAGES OF \$309,000 +

Triple Damages — of \$900,000.
w/ FINAL JUDGEMENTS +
DEFAULTS w/ NO APPEALS
ON "DEFAULTS OR EXTENSIONS —
* JUSTICE NEEDS TO PREVAIL
AND ABIDE BY THE LAWS!

MOTION ALL —

Discovery from Pollick +
FLANDERS (HOW D.C.'s OF ENTIRE
CASE OF Plaintiff WAS OBTAINED

"LEGALLY OR ILLEGALLY"
FROM MICHAEL HUGO w/out Plaintiff's
KNOWLEDGE! CONTINGENCY fee
AGREEMENT WRITTEN (LETTER)
AFTER 3/04 FROM Pollick + Flanders NONE
W/ DEED HAD D.C. OF Plaintiff's
ENTIRE FILE — w/out knowledge
TO OBTAIN AN "ILLEGAL"
(PAYMENT) DUE TO FILING FOR
D.C. SETTLEMENT MONIES THAN
"FRAUD" AND NOT ENTITLED TO
POLLOCK + FLANDERS IMMEDIATELY
FIRED 4/14/04 FOR

*file-^{of} Plaintiff To OBTAIN ENTIRE FILE
OF D.C. W/ OVERABUNDANCES
OF DEFICIENCIES + DISMISSALS
OF Dow CHEMICAL CASE
WITH NO KNOWLEDGE - DUE TO
JAIL AND NEVER NO
NOTICES OF ANY "STATUS" FROM
1995 - TO DATE NO NE ON
RECORDS ① NOTHING SENT TO (Complete
"Dow CORNING") AND "Dow CHEMICAL
DISMISSED" 99-

MAIPRACTICE - Gross Negligence of 3(1)
ON CASE - Ineffective Counsel of
HUGO. HUGO, Pollack + Laderer

REQUEST - motions for

* DEFAMITS AND FINAL JUDGEMENTS
ON HUGO'S FOR AVOIDING ANSWER
FULL AND "TRUTH ANSWERS"
IN FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES
"NOT ACCEPTABLE ANSWERS"
AND NOT PROVIDING ALL DISCOVERY
TO COURT + Plaintiff TO BACK
UP FALSE ALLEGATIONS IN

INTERROGATORIES AND TRYING TO
 Defame "CREDIBILITY OF
 Plaintiff To Be "STUPID" AND
 INCOMPETENT — ON

ALL INTERROGATORIES
 "EXCELLENT QUESTIONS —
 HUGO JUST AVOIDING TRUTH
 W/ UNSCRAMBLED SCRIPTS!

Examining Credibility of Plaintiff
 NOW BUT NOT WHEN HE
 WAS "ALLEGEDLY REPRESENTING +
 DIDN'T IN 'GOOD FAITH'
 Plaintiff Amends

Suit \$300,000 + Triple
 Damages for malpractice +
 Negligence, + Not Representing
 Plaintiff in Her Best Interests
 OVER SEVERAL YEARS AGO LEFT
 IMPLANT CASE WHEN WHAT YEAR
 w/ NO NOTIFICATION + Knowledge

TO COMPLETE
 TO DATE TO PLAINTIFF (Discovery Needed)
 Broke up firm — SMART!
 BUT NOT SO SMART "FRAUD" —

3 OVER ZEALOUS ATTORNEYS
Trying To Dismiss Case

04-CV-11588

Judge To Be
Anxiously — Depo's ON AM-3 Def Needed
By Court — READY FOR TRIAL w/out Depo's
A.S.A.P. TRIAL By Jury

A.S.A.P.
Plaintiff - w/ ALL EXHIBITS

+ EVID. TO PROVE
CASE BEYOND REASONABLE
DOUBT OF (3) "GUILTY"
OF MALPRACTICE, GROSS
Negligence of Ineffective
Counsel w/ A CASE THAT

"SMELLS WORSE THAN A
Septic TANK well — "OVERDUE"
w/ 3 ATTORNEYS TRYING TO COLLECT
+ DEFRAUD D.C. SETTLEMENT
OF monies w/ NO REPRESENTATION
OF POLLACK & FLANIGAN'S LAW FIRM

3/04 EVER. Margitta Taylor's
L.C. D.C. SETTLEMENT funds — Pro se 3/20/05
RE: Lien —